



DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY
MANUFACTURED HOUSING DIVISION

1535 Old Hot Springs Rd, Suite 60
Carson City, Nevada 89706
(775) 687-2060 • Fax: (775) 687-5521
mhd.nv.gov

Manufactured Housing Division

Minutes of the Public Hearing
Held January 10th, 2014

The Manufactured Housing Division of the Department of Business and Industry of the State of Nevada held a public hearing at 9:00 am, on the 10th day of January 2014, at 1818 East College Parkway, Suite 103 Carson City, Nevada and via videoconference from the Bradley Building, 2nd Floor Conference Room 2501 E. Sahara, Las Vegas, Nevada.

MHD Staff attending in Carson City:

Jim deProse, Administrator
Karen Fox, Licensing Officer
Diane O'Connor, Program Officer
Jim Lynn, Compliance Investigator
Sandra Doughty, Administrative Assistant
Adrienne Sawyer, Licensing Officer
Colleen Platt, Deputy Attorney General

MHD Staff attending in Las Vegas:

Pam Detiz, Accounting Assistant
Dee Augdahl, Compliance Investigator

Public in Attendance in Las Vegas

David Lee, Mobile Mini
Jeanne Parrett, Eldorado Estates
Aldo Gurrola, C.G. Enterprises
Gary Childers
Patsy Romanous, Pac Van

Mark Garland, Xtreme
Don Ahern, Xtreme
Brandon Main, Xtreme
Brenda Thompson, Clark County Building Dept.
Sam Palmer, Clark County Building Dept.

Public in Attendance in Carson City

David Kauffman, Sun Communities
Joshua C Stewart, JCC Mobile Home Repair
Fred Cutler, Sani Hut
Bert A Reed Jr., Time and Materials
Dave Shumway, Shumways MHS
Buster Scholl, CAA
Neva Doiel, Doiel Painting
Genevieve Proffitt, CAA
Joshua Sykes, Manufactured Mobile

Gene Temen, Quickspace
Louis Test, Sani Hut
Jack Franklin, J E Franklin Co.
Bob Hockenhull, Sierra Shadows
Jamie Duenas, J Duenas MH Set up
Dave Karr, Anywhere Repair
Tom Cash, Tom's MHS
Ray Proffitt, CAA
Chelsea Capurro, GCG



DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY
MANUFACTURED HOUSING DIVISION

1535 Old Hot Springs Rd, Suite 60
Carson City, Nevada 89706
(775) 687-2060 • Fax: (775) 687-5521
mhd.nv.gov

The Administrator Jim deProsse called the hearing to order at 9:00am on Friday January 10th 2014. He introduced the MHD staff in Carson City.

The Administrator opened the meeting for public comments. There were no comments from Carson City or Las Vegas.

Mr. DeProsse explained the purpose of the hearing is to adopt LCB file R009-12. The proposed regulation pertains only to the installation and construction of portable building and it is the Divisions intent to adopt the regulation.

Open public comment Las Vegas.

Jeanne Parrett expressed concern about the definition of a Dealers office.

Mr. deProsse explained that anything in italics is existing language and the definition has not changed.

Karen Fox explained that a Dealers office is where contracts are being signed.

Open public comment Carson City.

Louis Test inquired as to how the Division intends to implement the regulation.

Mr. deProsse explained that the Division would only need to inspect the installation of the building every two years. Each Unit would be given a sticker good for 2 years. The requirement of Industry is that affixed to the structure is the installation information drafted by an engineer. The 3 day request from the Division as to the whereabouts of the units is only for audit purposes.

Mr. Test expressed concern that during the summer months staff might not be available answer the phones, let alone fulfill the Division's request. He asked that 5 business days be given to comply with the request. Mr. Test inquired about inspections in remote areas.

Mr. DeProsse explained that the Division has contracted inspectors that ensure the Division is able to accommodate inspections in remote areas.

Gene Temen testified as to his written submitted comments on the regulation ("Exhibit A"). He expressed concern about the cost to small business to bring the units into compliance. The mechanism to get the stickers on the buildings needs to be figured out as the buildings are spread throughout the state.

Mr. Temen questioned the need for the installation manual to be in the building. He wondered if each configuration would need engineered plans which would cost the company a significant amount of money. He also expressed concern about the quick disconnect which as he understood, was a connection that anyone could disconnect. In the new regulation there is area where it can be hardwired if done by an electrician. He wanted clarification that the Division would not consider a portable building hardwired to be a commercial coach. Mr Temen asked for more clarification as to what defines a portable building in section 5. He would like more information about remodel and modification of portable buildings. His concern is it would be cumbersome to Industry to have to get an inspection in the field for modifications. Mr. Temen also questioned why the IBC for 2006 were adopted in this regulation but in the next workshop, IBC codes for 2012 are to be adopted, there needs to be consistency. Mr. Temen's comment was that section 11 page 8, ADA compliance for portable building will be cumbersome and would like more information as to why the language is in the regulation.

Mr. DeProsse explained there is an exemption to the ADA for buildings that move frequently. However, if a building is at a site for longer than the exemption allows, they would be subject to ADA compliance. In terms of section 5, Industry and the Division wanted more clarification that a shed was not considered a portable building. As far as the economic impact, we have been required to inspect structures every time it is moved at \$90. The new option for building that move frequently is to pay the \$50 for a two year installation sticker that does not need to be inspected every time it moves. Mr. Temen inquired



DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY
MANUFACTURED HOUSING DIVISION

1535 Old Hot Springs Rd, Suite 60
Carson City, Nevada 89706
(775) 687-2060 • Fax: (775) 687-5521
mhd.nv.gov

about the Division's position on if modifying shipping containers constitutes being a manufacturer, and if so will there be a grandfather clause for those who have been doing so. He would like to know how the regulation will be implemented to see how Industry needs to proceed. Mr. DeProsse replied that the Division will look into the requirements of a manufacturer and see which license best fits this situation. Mr. deProsse opened the last public comment period in Las Vegas.

Gary Childers commented that there is no set path where the installation sticker could be revoked.

Patsy Roumanos pointed out that there is language in the regulation that allows the sticker to be revoked.

David Lee asked about what the Division will be looking for at the 2 year renewal inspection.

Mr. deProsse explained that the Division will be looking for an approved method of installation and inspect the condition of the building. He reiterated that if the structure already has a compliance label, it would not be required to get a new one.

No public comments in Carson.

Mr. DeProsse thanked everyone for their comments and adjourned the meeting.